

Dear FCC,

I am alarmed and ashamed to learn what our broadcasting networks are fast becoming -places where people with lots of money can do and get away with just about anything.

As a citizen of the United States of America, an educated voter, and a tax payer I am charging you with the task of protecting the public airwaves.

Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election is a clear example of the dangers of media consolidation. I think it is dangerous for our public airwaves to become the private domain of any one political party.

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. But when large companies control the airwaves, we get more of what's good for the bottom line and less of what we need for our democracy. Instead of something produced at "News Central" far away, it's more important that we see real people from our own communities and more substantive news about issues that matter to our individual communities.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard.

In a country where more and more can be bought I would like to see our public airwaves remain free and what runs on them representative of the whole public.

Thank you.

Aisha N. HobbsDear FCC,

I am alarmed and ashamed to learn what our broadcasting networks are fast becoming -places where people with lots of money can do and get away with just about anything.

As a citizen of the United States of America, an educated voter, and a tax payer I am charging you with the task of protecting the public airwaves.

Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election is a clear example of the dangers of media consolidation. I think it is dangerous for our public airwaves to become the domain of any one political party.

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. But when large companies control the airwaves, we get more of what's good for the bottom line and less of what we need for our democracy. Instead of something produced at "News Central" far away, it's more important that we see real people from our own communities and more substantive news about issues that matter to our individual communities.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard.

In a country where more and more can be bought I would like to see our public airwaves remain free and what runs on them representative of the whole public.

Thank you.

Aisha N. Hobbs